# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 664595	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/yea	r) Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2004/009488	29.06.2004	30.06.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC				
Applicant					
TANABE SEIYAKU CO., 1	LTD.				
This report is the international prelin under Article 35 and transmitted to the		y this International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	9 sheets, it	ncluding this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of _	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and	number of electronic carrier(s))			
		containing a sequence listing and/or tables			
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the	report				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of uni	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
<b>DOX 110. V</b>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited				
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completic	on of this report			
		-			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized office	<u> </u>			
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.				

Translation

Box	x No. I	Basis of the report	
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internationated under this item.	onal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original langua which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp	
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	)
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and	<b>,</b>
2.	recei	regard to the elements of the international application, this ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are eport):  the international application as originally filed/furnished	report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
		the description:	
		pages	as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		pages*	received by this Authority on
		the claims:	
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	<del>-</del>
			received by this Authority on
			received by this Authority on
		the drawings:	
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on
		sheets*	
	$\Box$	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	
	$\Box$		cluar Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages	
		the claims, nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	Ш	This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	Iments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since led, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages	
İ		the claims, nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
<u> </u>	If iten	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."

		, ,
Box N	io. IV Lack of unity of invention	•
1.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:	
	restricted the claims.	
	paid additional fees.	
	paid additional fees under protest.	
	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.	
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied wit the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	h and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Ru	les 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
[	complied with.	
	not complied with for the following reasons:	
	Invention 1: claim 1	
	Invention 2: claim 2	
	Invention 3: claim 3	
	Invention 4: claims 4, 9 and 10	
	Invention 5: claim 5	
	Invention 6: claim 6	
	Invention 7: claim 7	
	Invention 8: claim 8	
	Invention 1 pertains to a metho	d for producing
	compounds represented by general form	ula [1], whereas
	Inventions 2 to 8 pertain to methods	for producing
	compounds represented by general form	ulas with scopes
	that include the intermediate product	s of the
	abovementioned production method.	
	[Refer to the Supplemental Box]	
		•
4.	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the inter-	rnational application:
[	all parts.	
[	the parts relating to claims Nos.	

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N) Claims 1, 7	_ YES	
	Claims 2-6, 8-10	_ NO	
	Inventive step (IS) Claims 1	YES	
	Claims 2-10	_ NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-10	YES	
	Claims	_ NO	
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)		
	Document 1: L. DJAKOVITCH et al., "Amination of aryl		
	bromides catalyzed by supported palladium,"		
	Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 1999,		
	Vol. 592, No. 2, pages 225 to 234		
	Document 2: WO 99/14191 A1 (Biocryst Pharmaceuticals,		
	Inc.), 25 March 1999		
	Document 3: JP 57-122062 (Nippon Chemiphar Co., Ltd.), 29		
	July 1982		
	Document 4: K. U. INGOLD et al., "Cycloalkylmethyl		
	radicals. Part 3. Dynamic stereochemistry of		
	axial and equatorial cyclohexylmethyl and 4-		
	alkylcyclohexylmethyl radicals," Journal of		
	the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2:		
	Physical Organic Chemistry, 1972 to 1999,		
	(1986), No. 8, pages 1337 to 1344		
	Document 5: JP 2001-039938 A (Tosoh Corp.), 13 February		
	2001		
	Document 6: G. VITI et al., "Synthesis of new		
	arylbenzofurodiazepin-6-ones," Journal of		
	Heterocyclic Chemistry, 1990, Vol. 27, No.		
	5, pages 1369 to 1375		
	Document 7: C. R. HARRISON et al., "Preparation of alkyl		
	chlorides, acid chlorides, and amides using		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

polymer-supported phosphines and carbon tetrachloride: mechanism of these reactions," Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1983, Vol. 48, No. 21, pages 3721 to 3728

## Claim 2

Document 1 cited in the international search report presents the same reaction as is set forth in claim 2 of the present application (refer to page 226, scheme 1); therefore, the invention set forth in claim 2 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1.

## Claim 3

Document 2 cited in the international search report discloses the same reaction as is set forth in claim 3 of the present application (refer to page 14, scheme 1); therefore, the invention set forth in claim 3 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 2.

# Claims 4, 9 and 10

Prior to the filing of the present application, it was common practice to convert a benzene ring into a cyclohexane by means of catalytic reduction; for example, document 3 cited in the international search report discloses a reaction for converting a p-amino benzoic acid into a 4-aminocyclohexane carboxylic acid by means of catalytic reduction (refer to page 3, example 1).

Therefore, the inventions set forth in claims 4, 9 and 10 lack novelty and do not involve an inventive step in the light of document 3.

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

## Claim 5

Document 4 cited in the international search report presents the same reaction as is set forth in claim 5 of the present application (refer to page 1343, right column); therefore, the invention set forth in claim 5 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 4.

### Claim 6

Document 5 cited in the international search report discloses the same reaction as is set forth in claim 6 of the present application (refer to paragraphs [0033] to [0035] and example 1), and further indicates that it is possible to support a group VIII metal compound upon a support (refer to paragraph [0019]).

Therefore, the invention set forth in claim 6 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 5.

### Claim 8

Document 6 cited in the international search report presents the same reaction as is set forth in claim 8 of the present application (refer to page 1369, scheme 1); therefore, the invention set forth in claim 8 lacks novelty and does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 6.

## Claim 7

The invention set forth in claim 7 of the present application is not disclosed in documents 1 to 7 cited in the international search report; therefore, the invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

in question is novel.

Document 6 presents compounds corresponding to the compounds represented by formula [XIV] set forth in claim 7 (refer to page 1369, schemes 1 and 2 a-f).

However, document 6 indicates that the compounds in question are synthesized by means of a different reaction from the reaction that is set forth in claim 7 of the present application. On the other hand, document 7 discloses a feature wherein a phenoxyacetanilide is synthesized by chlorinating a phenoxyacetic acid and then reacting the chlorinated phenoxyacetic acid with an aniline; therefore, it can be considered to have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of synthesizing the abovementioned compounds by means of a similar reaction in the invention that is presented in document 6.

In addition, the effects that result therefrom cannot be considered to be significant.

Consequently, the invention set forth in claim 7 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 6 and 7.

## Claim 1

The invention set forth in claim 1 of the present application is not disclosed in documents 1 to 7 cited in the international search report; therefore, the invention in question is novel.

The feature of synthesizing compounds that are represented by general formula [I] by reacting a compound represented by formula [XV] with a compound represented by formula [VIII] is not disclosed or suggested in any of the documents, and said feature would not have been

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
obvious, even to a person skilled in the art. Therefore,	-
the invention set forth in claim 1 involves an inventive	
step in relation to documents 1 to 7.	

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Box IV

However, in the light of the fact that the compounds represented by formula [XV] and the compounds represented by formula [VIII] are well known (refer to the belowmentioned documents and the like), the "special technical feature" of Invention 1 is considered to be the synthesis of compounds that are represented by general formula [1] by reacting a compound represented by formula [XV] with a compound represented by formula [VIII] in the final step, whereas the "special technical features" of Inventions 2 to 8 are considered to be the syntheses of specific compounds by means of specific reactions.

As a result, there is no technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features among Inventions 1 to 8, and thus Inventions 1 to 8 cannot be considered to be so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Consequently, the claims of the present application can be considered to include the abovementioned eight unrelated inventions.

### Citations:

- WO 99/14191 A1 (Biocryst Pharmaceuticals, Inc.), 25 March 1999, in particular, refer to page 14, scheme 1
- G. VITI et al., "Synthesis of new arylbenzofurodiazepin-6-ones," Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry, 1990, Vol. 27, No. 5, pages 1369 to 1375, in particular, refer to page 1369, Scheme 1